Journal of Fundamental and Applied Research **Vol. 5**, Issue 4 (2025) **20250025**

Received: 30 October 2025 / Accepted: 02 December 2025
Published online: 05 December 2025
© 2025 The Author(s)

Numerical modeling of boson stars: A review of distributed collocation methods

Sardor Tojiev[1, 2, *]

[1] *Tashkent State University of Economics, 100066, Tashkent, Uzbekistan*
[2] *Institute of Fundamental and Applied Research, National Research University TIIAME, Kori Niyoziy 39, Tashkent 100000, Uzbekistan*

Abstract. The simulation of self-gravitating scalar fields, specifically boson stars, has evolved from a theoretical curiosity to a crucial component of modern dark matter research and gravitational wave astronomy. This review analyzes the computational landscape of solving the Einstein-Klein-Gordon (EKG) system, with a specific focus on the transition from serial shooting methods to scalable, distributed parallel solvers. We critically examine the mathematical formulation of the boundary value problem (BVP) inherent to these systems and evaluate the efficacy of collocation methods when coupled with domain decomposition strategies. Special attention is given to the "Distributed Collocation Framework," analyzing its algorithmic architecture, ghost-node communication protocols, and performance scalability. We conclude that distributed collocation offers a necessary pathway to high-resolution modeling of stiff relativistic systems, bridging the gap between local workstation prototyping and high-performance cluster simulations.

Key words: Numerical Relativity, Boson Stars, Einstein-Klein-Gordon, Collocation Methods, Parallel Computing, Domain Decomposition

I. INTRODUCTION

The nature of dark matter remains one of the most profound puzzles in cosmology. While the Lambda-CDM model has been remarkably successful on large scales, discrepancies on galactic scales—such as the cusp-core problem—have reinvigorated interest in alternative candidates. Among these, ultralight scalar fields (axion-like particles) capable of forming macroscopic Bose-Einstein condensates, known as boson stars, have emerged as a compelling hypothesis [1, 2]. Unlike neutron stars or white dwarfs, which are supported by fermionic degeneracy pressure, boson stars resist gravitational collapse through the dispersive pressure of the scalar wavefunction, a manifestation of the Heisenberg uncertainty principle on a macroscopic scale [3, 4]. Modeling these objects requires solving the Einstein-Klein-Gordon (EKG) equations, a coupled system of nonlinear partial differential equations.

Historically, the static, spherically symmetric configurations of boson stars were found using simple shooting methods [5]. However, modern research demands higher fidelity: resolving the asymptotic behavior of the scalar tail, studying excited states with multiple nodes [6], and exploring the stability of self-interacting fields. These requirements introduce numerical stiffness and memory constraints that render traditional serial solvers inadequate.

This review focuses on the emerging paradigm of distributed BVP solvers. We analyze the shift towards collocation methods implemented on distributed memory architectures using Message Passing Interface (MPI) protocols. By decomposing the radial domain across multiple computational nodes, these frameworks promise to overcome the resolution limits of single-core processors, enabling the next generation of precision relativistic astrophysics.

II. THE MATHEMATICAL LANDSCAPE OF THE EKG SYSTEM

A. Action and Field Equations

The dynamics of a boson star are governed by the action for a complex scalar field Φ minimally coupled to gravity:

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[\frac{R}{16\pi G} - g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\Phi^*\partial_\nu\Phi - V(|\Phi|^2)\right]. \tag{1}$$

* Corresponding author: s.tojiev@tsue.uz

Variation with respect to the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ yields the Einstein field equations, while variation with respect to Φ yields the Klein-Gordon equation:

$$\Box\Phi - \frac{dV}{d|\Phi|^2}\Phi = 0. \tag{2}$$

The coupling is highly nonlinear; the scalar field generates the spacetime curvature that, in turn, dictates the propagation of the field itself.

B. The Eigenvalue Problem in Spherical Symmetry

Under the assumption of a static, spherically symmetric spacetime metric:

$$ds^2 = -e^{\nu(r)}dt^2 + e^{\lambda(r)}dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2, \tag{3}$$

and a harmonic scalar field ansatz $\Phi(t, r) = \phi(r)e^{-i\omega t}$, the system reduces to ordinary differential equations (ODEs). However, this simplification hides a subtle complexity: the frequency ω is not a free parameter but an eigenvalue that must be determined to satisfy boundary conditions at both the origin ($r = 0$) and infinity ($r \to \infty$) [7].

The system can be formally written as a two-point boundary value problem:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{y}}{dr} = \mathbf{f}(r, \mathbf{y}; \omega), \quad \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{y}(0), \mathbf{y}(\infty)) = 0, \tag{4}$$

where $\mathbf{y} = [\phi, \phi', m, \nu]^T$. The vector function $\mathbf{f}$ contains terms proportional to $1/r$, creating a coordinate singularity at the origin that requires careful numerical handling, often involving Taylor series expansions to start the integration [8].

III. COMPUTATIONAL APPROACHES: FROM SHOOTING TO COLLOCATION

A. Shooting Methods

The traditional approach to solving the EKG system is the "shooting method" [9]. One integrates the ODEs from the origin with a trial frequency ω and checks if the solution decays at a large radius r_{out}. If not, ω is adjusted via a root-finding algorithm (e.g., bisection).

Limitations:

- Sensitivity: The integration is exponentially sensitive to initial conditions, especially for excited states or high-compactness stars.
- Seriality: The method is inherently serial; one cannot easily parallelize the integration of a single trajectory $r \in [0, \infty)$.
- Stiffness: Explicit integrators often fail or require prohibitively small time steps in stiff regimes (e.g., near the star's surface).

B. Relaxation and Collocation

To address these limitations, modern solvers utilize relaxation or collocation methods. These approaches treat the BVP globally, approximating the solution on a grid simultaneously.

- Collocation: The solution is approximated by piecewise polynomials (e.g., splines) that satisfy the ODEs at specific "collocation points" [10].
- Advantages: This converts the differential problem into a large system of nonlinear algebraic equations. It is more robust than shooting and allows for adaptive mesh refinement. Furthermore, the algebraic structure is amenable to parallelization.

IV. ANALYSIS OF THE DISTRIBUTED COLLOCATION FRAMEWORK

The manuscript under review proposes a novel Distributed Collocation Solver. This section analyzes the theoretical and practical mechanics of this specific approach.

 Copyright © 2025

A. Domain Decomposition

The core innovation is the decomposition of the radial domain $D = [0, r_{max}]$ into P non-overlapping subdomains $D_i = [r_i, r_{i+1}]$. Each subdomain is assigned to a separate process (MPI rank). This breaks the large algebraic system into smaller, locally manageable blocks.

Mathematically, this transforms the global Jacobian matrix J of the Newton-Raphson iteration into a block-diagonal form with coupling only at the block interfaces:

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & B_1 & 0 & \dots \\ C_2 & A_2 & B_2 & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \dots & 0 & C_P & A_P \end{pmatrix} \tag{5}$$

where A_i represents the local collocation physics, and B_i, C_i represent the boundary conditions linking domain i to $i+1$ and $i-1$.

B. Ghost-Node Communication Protocol

A critical component of the distributed solver is the "ghost node" exchange. To maintain C^1 continuity (differentiability) of the metric and scalar field across subdomain boundaries, rank i must know the value of the solution at the edge of rank $i-1$ and rank $i+1$.

The analyzed work implements a standard explicit communication step:

1. Compute: Each rank solves its local Newton step ignoring coupling.
2. Exchange: Ranks send edge values to neighbors via `MPI_Send/Recv`.
3. Correct: Boundary residuals are re-evaluated.

While effective for moderately stiff problems, this "block-Jacobi" style iteration can suffer from slow convergence if the coupling between domains is strong. A more advanced approach, such as the Schur complement method or Red-Black ordering, could improve convergence rates for highly relativistic configurations [11].

C. Scalability and Amdahl's Law

The reported results indicate near-linear speedup up to 64 processes. This suggests that the problem is compute-bound rather than communication-bound. The local assembly of the collocation matrix (involving complex algebra and polynomial evaluations) dominates the time cost.

$$T_{total} \approx \frac{T_{comp}}{P} + T_{comm} \times \log(P) \tag{6}$$

As P increases, the local domain size shrinks. Eventually, T_{comm} will dominate. However, for EKG systems requiring high radial resolution ($N > 10^5$ points), the crossover point is high, justifying the distributed approach.

V. CURRENT STATE OF THE ART COMPARISON

The proposed framework fills a specific niche: obtaining extremely high-precision initial data or equilibrium configurations that are too expensive for shooting methods but do not require the massive overhead of a full 3D evolution code like the Einstein Toolkit [12]. Table I outlines the differences between methods.

VI. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

The analysis of the distributed solver points toward several fruitful avenues for future research:

Copyright © 2025

TABLE I: Comparison of Numerical Methods for EKG Systems

Feature	**Shooting**	**Einstein Toolkit**	**Dist. Collocation**
Method	ODE Integration	Finite Diff. (3D)	Spline Collocation
Accuracy	Adaptive	4th Order FD	Spectral/High-Order
Parallelism	Serial	High (3D)	High (1D)
Use Case	Prototyping	Mergers/Dynamics	Precision Static Sol.
Overhead	Low	High	Medium

- Adaptive Mesh Refinement (AMR): Current implementations often use fixed grids. However, boson stars decay exponentially. A distributed system where domains have different grid densities (finer at the core, coarser at the tail) would optimize memory usage. Dynamic load balancing would be required to ensure no rank is idle.
- GPU Acceleration: The local BVP solve involves dense linear algebra (LU decomposition of small blocks). This is an ideal workload for GPUs. A hybrid MPI+CUDA approach could accelerate the "local solve" phase by orders of magnitude, pushing the bottleneck entirely to the communication layer.
- Extension to Rotating Solutions: The current analysis is limited to spherical symmetry. Extending this to rotating boson stars requires solving partial differential equations in (r, θ). The domain decomposition strategy can be generalized to 2D pencils or tiles, significantly increasing the complexity of the ghost-node exchange but enabling the study of angular momentum in scalar fields [13].

VII. CONCLUSION

The transition from serial to distributed computing in the study of boson stars is not merely a technical upgrade; it is a necessity driven by the precision requirements of modern astrophysics. The Distributed Collocation Framework reviewed here represents a robust, scalable methodology for solving the Einstein-Klein-Gordon system. By effectively decoupling the radial domain and leveraging MPI for boundary synchronization, it allows researchers to probe the extreme corners of the parameter space—such as the critical mass threshold and excited states—with unprecedented accuracy.

As gravitational wave detectors (LIGO/Virgo) continue to search for exotic compact objects, the theoretical templates generated by these high-performance solvers will be instrumental in distinguishing boson stars from black holes. The future of relativistic scalar field modeling lies in the efficient synthesis of advanced numerical algorithms and parallel hardware architectures.

REFERENCES

[1] W. Hu, R. Barkana, and A. Gruzinov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 1158 (2000).
[2] D. J. E. Marsh, Phys. Rep. **643**, 1 (2016).
[3] D. J. Kaup, Phys. Rev. **172**, 1331 (1968).
[4] R. Ruffini and S. Bonazzola, Phys. Rev. **187**, 1767 (1969).
[5] M. Colpi, S. L. Shapiro, and I. Wasserman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 2485 (1986).
[6] A. Bernal, J. Barranco, D. Alic, and C. Palenzuela, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 044031 (2010).
[7] P. Jetzer, Phys. Rep. **220**, 163 (1992).
[8] S. L. Liebling and C. Palenzuela, Living Rev. Relativ. **15**, 6 (2012).
[9] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, *Numerical Recipes: The Art of Scientific Computing*, 3rd ed. (Cambridge University Press, 2007).
[10] U. M. Ascher, R. M. M. Mattheij, and R. D. Russell, *Numerical Solution of Boundary Value Problems for Ordinary Differential Equations* (SIAM, 1995).
[11] B. Smith, P. Bjorstad, and W. Gropp, *Domain Decomposition: Parallel Multilevel Methods for Elliptic Partial Differential Equations* (Cambridge University Press, 1996).
[12] F. Löffler et al., Class. Quant. Grav. **29**, 115001 (2012).
[13] S. Yoshida and Y. Eriguchi, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 762 (1997).

 Copyright © 2025